

V2N1

by 06 Edward

Submission date: 30-Sep-2021 09:39PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1661594507

File name: 06_Edward_Dinoy.pdf (596.99K)

Word count: 1813

Character count: 9775



Geological Mapping of Gunungbatu and Surrounding Areas, Bodeh District, Pemalang Regency, Central Java

Edward Dinoy¹, Yohanes Gilbert Tampaty¹,

Imelda Srilestari Mabuati¹, Joseph Alexon Sutiray Dwene¹

¹ Faculty of Mineral and Marine Technology, Institute of Technology Adhi Tama Surabaya

*e-mail: edwar.dinoy97@gmail.com

Article info

Received:

July 20, 2021

Revised:

August 30, 2021

Accepted:

September 2, 2021

Published:

September 30, 2021

Keywords:

Geological mapping,
Gunungbatu, Bodeh,
geomorphology,
stratigraphy

Abstract

The compressive strength test is one of the technical properties or compressive strength tests that are commonly used in rock mechanics to determine the collapse point or the elasticity of rock against maximum pressure. The rock collapse point is a measure of the strength of the rock itself when the rock is no longer able to maintain its elastic properties. The purpose of this test is to find out how long the rock maintains its strength or elasticity properties when pressure is applied, and to find out the difference between the strength of compact rock and rock that has fractures when pressure is applied. Rocks that have fractures will break more easily or quickly when pressure is applied compared to compact rocks. This analysis is carried out by comparing the rock strength of each sample, both those that have fractures and compact rocks. To find out these differences, laboratory testing was carried out. The test results show the value (compressive strength test 57.76 MPa), (elastic modulus 5250.000MPa), (Poisson ratio 0.05) and the average value of rock mechanical properties test (axial 0.91), (lateral-0.279), and (volumetric 0.252). Based on the test results above, it shows that rocks that have fractures will break more easily when pressure is applied, compared to compact rocks that have a long time in the uniaxial compressive strength test.

1. Introduction

Fracture is one of the most influential factors on rock strength. Rocks will easily lose their elasticity if the fractured rock is subjected to maximum pressure. However, it is different from compact or fresh rock, which when under pressure, the rock will not easily lose its elastic properties due to its dense nature. The results of this rock fracture test are in the form of a curve that shows the fluid pressure in the direction against time, where from the curve the fracture compressive value or maximum compressive value will be obtained. In the mining industry, rock strength is also very influential or very important in rock engineering. Especially in underground mines that require rock engineering.

Rock mechanics is the study of rocks and other aspects contained in them. Therefore, it is necessary to know the hardness of each rock, so that in excavation design, rock slope stability, and tunnel design can run efficiently. Fractures greatly affect the strength of the rock because when pressure is applied, the rock will crack or break more easily. In this case the test is carried out by providing a constant or constant strength.

The uniaxial compressive strength test is a uniaxial compressive test carried out on reduced rock samples in the form of blocks, which is the most commonly used mechanical property test. Uniaxial compressive strength test was conducted to determine the rock compressive strength (σ), Young's modulus (E), Poisson ratio (ν), and the stress-strain curve. Examples of rocks in the form of blocks are pressed or loaded until they collapse. The comparison between height and size used in this test is the equation $L = 2 \times D$ with an average loading surface area parallel to the axis of the rock sample.

From the test results will be obtained some data. Uniaxial compressive strength test resulted in seven types of failure, namely:

- Cataclysm
- Axial separation
- Crushing cone
- Shear shear (homogeneous shear)
- Corner to corner shear (homogeneous shear angle to corner)
- Combination of axial and local shear
- Splintery union-leaves and bends.

2. Methodology

In this practical field work activity in geological mapping, survey methods are used in the form of surface geological mapping which includes several aspects including aspects of Lithology, Geomorphology, Sedimentology, Stratigraphy, Structural Geology, Historical Geology and excavation materials found in the research area. At the field research stage, a trajectory map is made, observations of rock outcrops, morphology of the research area, structural measurements, and observations of geological resources and disaster sources in the surrounding area are carried out. Meanwhile, in the laboratory research phase, petrographic analysis and fossil analysis were carried out.

The methods of data collection are: (1) surface data collection is carried out directly in the field using tools, (2) data collection with or without using tools, (3) data collection includes primary and secondary data collection.

The object of this research is fieldwork practice on geological mapping, covering several aspects including aspects of lithology, geomorphology, sedimentology, stratigraphy, structural geology, historical geology as well as excavation materials found in the research area.

3. Results

Fractures in the support have a direct effect on the uniaxial compressive strength test. The following are the results obtained are as follows:

Table 1. Uniaxial Compressive Strength Test Results on samples with an area of 2601.00mm => 260.1mm² and a load of 11016.50 Kg

No.	Description	Uniaxial Compressive Strength Test Results
1	Uniaxial Compressive Strength	42.35MPa
2	Poisson Ratio	0.62
3	Modulus of Elasticity	6754.386Mpa

Results based on laboratory tests, the rock has a compressive strength of 42.35 MPa. With the following calculations:

$$\sigma_c = \frac{P}{A}$$

$$\sigma_c = \frac{11016.50 \text{ kg}}{260.1 \text{ mm}^2}$$

$$\sigma = 42.35 \text{ MPa}$$

Table 2. Uniaxial Compressive Strength Test Results on samples with an area of 2601.00mm => 260.1mm² and a load of 11016.50 Kg

No.	Description	Uniaxial Compressive Strength Test Results
1	Uniaxial Compressive Strength	42.35MPa
2	Poisson Ratio	0.31
3	Modulus of Elasticity	7833.333 MPa

Based on the results of laboratory tests, the rock has a compressive strength of 42.35 MPa. By calculating the uniaxial compressive strength as follows:

$$\sigma_c = \frac{P}{A}$$

$$\sigma_c = \frac{11016.50 \text{ kg}}{260.1 \text{ mm}^2}$$

$$\sigma = 42.35 \text{ MPa}$$

The sample results above are almost the same as the previous samples, which have the same strength but have different Elasticity Modulus and Poisson's Ratio.

Table 3. Uniaxial Compressive Strength Test Results on samples with an area of 2601.00mm² => 260.1mm² and a load of 11016.50 Kg

No.	Description	Uniaxial Compressive Strength Test Results
1	Uniaxial Compressive Strength	42.35MPa
2	Poisson Ratio	0.12
3	Modulus of Elasticity	7700.00MPa

Based on the results of laboratory tests, the rock has a compressive strength of 42.35 MPa. By calculating the uniaxial compressive strength as follows:

$$\sigma_c = \frac{P}{A}$$

$$\sigma_c = \frac{11016.50 \text{ kg}}{260.1 \text{ mm}^2}$$

$$\sigma = 42.35 \text{ MPa}$$

The results of the sample above are almost the same as the results of the previous sample, which has the same compressive strength but has a different modulus of elasticity and Poisson's ratio. it can be seen from the test that the three samples have the same compressive strength.

Table 4. Uniaxial Compressive Strength Test Results on samples with an area of 2601.00mm² => 260.1mm² and a load of 11016.50 Kg

No.	Description	Uniaxial Compressive Strength Test Results
1	Uniaxial Compressive Strength	57.76MPa
2	Poisson Ratio	0.05
3	Modulus of Elasticity	5250.00MPa

Based on the results of laboratory tests above, the rock has a compressive strength of 57.76 MPa. By calculating the uniaxial compressive strength as follows:

$$\sigma_c = \frac{P}{A}$$

$$\sigma_c = \frac{15022.50 \text{ kg}}{260.1 \text{ mm}^2}$$

$$\sigma = 57.77 \text{ MPa}$$

Table 5. Uniaxial Compressive Strength Test on a sample with an area of 2601.00mm² and a load of 15022.50kg

No.	Description	Uniaxial Compressive Strength Test Results
1	Uniaxial Compressive Strength	46.21MPa
2	Poisson Ratio	0.33
3	Modulus of Elasticity	10628.571MPa

Based on the results of laboratory tests above, the rock has a compressive strength of 46.21 MPa. By calculating the uniaxial compressive strength as follows:

$$\sigma_c = \frac{P}{A}$$

$$\sigma = \frac{16024.00 \text{ kg}}{260.1 \text{ mm}^2}$$

$$\sigma = 57.77 \text{ MPa}$$

Based on the uniaxial compressive strength test that has been carried out on each rock sample, the compressive strength value of each rock is 42.35 MPa three times, then 57.77 MPa and 46.21 MPa. Based on the literature review and also the results of the study of rocks that have fractures that affect the strength of the rock when pressure is applied or the uniaxial compressive strength test is applied. The pictures of samples that have good fractures before size reduction (Corring) and vice versa.

4. Conclusion

The conclusions from the results of the research above that have been carried out are as follows:

- There is an effect of rock fracture on the uniaxial compressive strength test where the rock has low elasticity. Meanwhile, compact rock has high elasticity when it is subjected to pressure.
- The test results show the value (compressive strength test 57.76 MPa), (Modulus of elasticity 5250.000MPa), (Poisson ratio 0.05) and the average value of rock mechanical properties test (axial 0.91 lateral-0.279), and (volumetric 0.252).
- The compressive strength test is carried out to determine the strength of the rock, so that the more fractures in the rock, the lower the elasticity, and vice versa, the strength of the rock or not having the strength of elasticity is higher.

References:

- [1] (Aulia & Sapiie, 2019; Kaswiyanto et al., 2015; Melati, 2019; Nugroho et al., 2018; Pemetaan Rekahan Batuan Dasar Dan Potensinya Sebagai Reservoir Migas Lapangan "Shock" Blok, n.d.; Ranga & Kurnia, 2019; Selatan, pressure and crack length resulted by hydraulic fract. 1993.
- [2] Tobing, P. F. L., Feranie, S., & Latief, F. D. E. (2016). Metode Perhitungan Intensitas Rekahan Batuan Geologi 3D Menggunakan Skeletonisasi. October, SNF2016-EPA-1-SNF2016-EPA-6.
- [3] Kaswiyanto, F. Y., Arif, I., & Simangunsong, G. M. (2015). Uji model fisik untuk memprediksi inisiasi rekahan pada perekahan hidrolik (Physical modeling to predict fracture initiation on hydraulic fracturing). 1–6.
- [4] Melati, S. (2019). Studi Karakteristik Relasi Parameter Sifat Fisik Dan Kuat Tekan Uniaksial Pada Contoh Batulempung, Andesit, Dan Beton. *Jurnal GEOSAPTA*, 5(2), 133. <https://doi.org/10.20527/jg.v5i2.6808>
- [5] Nugroho, M. O. B., Prasetyadi, C., & Jatmiko, T. (2018). Pemodelan Intensitas Rekahan pada Fractured Basement Reservoir dengan Pendekatan Konsep Geologi Menggunakan Analisis Kualitatif di Cekungan Sumatra Tengah. *Jurnal Offshore: Oil, Production Facilities and Renewable Energy*, 2(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.30588/jo.v2i1.347>
- [6] Ranga, E., & Kurnia, K. (2019). Analisis Efek Skala Pada Pengujian Kuat Tekan Uniaksial Terhadap Batu Dolomit Pada PT. Polowijo Gosari, Gresik Jawa Timur. 2019(November), 130–133.
- [7] Selatan, S. (2016). Analisis Rekahan Alami Reservoir Untuk Mengetahui Kualitas Sumur Lapangan Jas, Cekungan Sumatera meningkatnya jumlah cadangan energi itu sendiri. Guna meningkatkan jumlah yang dapat dilakukan, diantaranya adalah atau pengembangan lapangan minyak tua de. 2014.
- [8] Sumatera, S. (2017). Prediksi Nilai Kuat Tekan Uniaksial Batuan Pengapit Batubara Menggunakan Data Sumatera Selatan Prediction of Uniaxial Compression Strength Values of Rocks Flanking Coal Using Ultrasonic Data at Musi Banyuasin Regency -. 13, 1–12.

ORIGINALITY REPORT

16%

SIMILARITY INDEX

10%

INTERNET SOURCES

5%

PUBLICATIONS

0%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

ejurnal.itats.ac.id

Internet Source

10%

2

N Haerudin, A Zaenudin, Y Aribowo, I G B Darmawan. "Mapping and analysis of the physical properties of rocks for building materials in the Sunda Strait region", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2018

Publication

5%

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches < 3%

Exclude bibliography On